



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/064,856  | 08/23/2002      | John Bruno           | 00100.02.0038 (020038T) 4595 |                  |
| 29153   | 7590 10/16/2003 |                      | EXAMINER                     |                  |
| ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  |                 |                      | HA, NATHAN W                 |                  |
| C/O VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ<br>222 N. LASALLE STREET<br>CHICAGO, IL 60601 |                 | AMMHOLZ              | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                 |                      | 2814                         |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                                 | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | 10/064,856                                      | BRUNO, JOHN   |  |  |  |
| ,   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | Nathan W. Ha ears on the cover sheet with the o | 2814  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2002</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| · ·   | s action is non-final.                          |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal                           | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/064,856

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16 are drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 758.
  - II. Claims 17-20 are drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 118.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the group II invention. For example, instead of coupling a system memory and then coupling a north bridge, as set forth in claim 17, the process may be carried out simultaneously.

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/064,856

Art Unit: 2814

Page 3

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nathan Ha whose telephone number is (703) 305-3507. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nathan Ha

October 6, 2003

 $S \cup$